UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,533	04/02/2001	Michael R. Hufford	IVQ-002RCE	9781
		08/04/2009 OODRICH & ROSATI		INER
650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050			GOTTSCHALK, MARTIN A	
PALO ALTO, C	A 94304-1030		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	09/825,533	HUFFORD ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARTIN A. GOTTSCHALK	3696				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
1) <u>MARTIN A. GOTTSCHALK</u> .	(3) <u>ESTHER KEPPLINGE</u>	R (Applicant's reg	oresentative).			
2) LOUIS D. LIETO (Aplicant's representative).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 30 July 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <u> </u>	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: Pending amendments to independent	<u>4.</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Pending claim amendments (see attached interview agenda) were discussed, as well as further potential amendments. Regarding the latter three main areas were discusseed where providing more detail in the claim might be useful: 1) the nature of the recited "historical compliance data," 2) how the recited "compliance threshold" is generated), and 3) the action taken, if action is determined to be needed.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Martin A. Gottschalk/						

Application No.

Applicant(s)